

Planning Team Report

Rezone land from 7(b) Scenic Protection to SP2 Infrastructure/ E2 Environmental Conservation at 41 Palmdale Road, Palmdale

Proposal Title :	Rezone land from 7(b) Scenic Protection to SP2 Infrastructure/ E2 Environmental Conservation at 41 Palmdale Road, Palmdale			
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal (PP) would rezone lot 3 DP 549272 being 41 Palmdale Road, Palmdale from 7(b) Scenic Protection to SP2 Infrastructure and E2 Environmental Conservation in the Wyong Local Environmental Plan 1991.			
PP Number :	PP_2013_WYONG_004_00	Dop File No :	13/04015	
Proposal Details				
	x			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	26-Feb-2013	LGA covered :	Wyong	
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Wyong Shire Council	
State Electorate :	LAKE MACQUARIE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 41	Palmdale Road			
Suburb : Pai	mdale City :		Postcode : 2258	
Land Parcel : Lot	3 DP 549272			
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Ben Holmes			
Contact Number :	0249042709			
Contact Email :	ben.holmes@planning.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Detai	ls			
Contact Name :	Lynda Hirst			
Contact Number :	0243505774			
Contact Email :	lynda.hirst@wyong.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data	I.			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	X	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Central Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy	Yes	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	1	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	SITE:		
	The site adjoins the existing Palmdale Lawn Cemetery and Memorial Park. The locality is largely rural in character, consisting of land zoned 1(f) Forestry, 7(a) Conservation and 7(b) Scenic Protection. The site contains a dwelling as well as both cleared and vegetated areas. Vegetated areas align with a creek that crosses the western/ north-western portion of the site and Council states this area includes an endangered ecological community (EEC).		
	POTENTIAL JOBS CREATED:		().
	The PP would allow the adjoining to generate employment in the sho		has advised it is unlikely
	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COU	JNCIL:	
	The submitted PP has been prepar details its consideration of the PP consistent there are areas in the P Council's assessment ie s117 direc consideration. Updating the PP in	in its Council report. While bo P which would benefit from b ction consistency and environ	oth documents are broadly eing updated with nmental impacts
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessment	t		
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the obj	ectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The Objectives section of the P Planning Proposals".	P is consistent with the Depar	rtment's "Guide to Preparing

Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The Explanation of Provisions section of the PP is consistent with the Department's "Guide to preparing Planning Proposals".		
Justification - s55 (2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the D	Director General? No	
b) S.117 directions ide	ntified by RPA :	2.1 Environment Protection Zones	
	r General's agreement	4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions	
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required?	Yes	
c) Consistent with Star	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :			
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? No	
If No, explain :	Further discussion section of this repo	on this is provided in the "Consistency with Strategic Framework" rt.	
Mapping Provided	s55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided?	ſes		
Comment :	Instrument (SI) zone	ne site is provided and a map showing the proposed Standard as within the context of the zones that would apply to the adjoining sation of the draft SI LEP.	
		n map showing the existing zoning within the context of the existing ld assist community consultation.	
	The site should be o	learly marked on all maps.	
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consult	tation been proposed? Yes		
Comment :		e need for community consultation but does not nominate a period. be considered as a routine, low impact PP, a 14 day consultation ded.	
Additional Director	General's requiremer	its	
Are there any additiona	I Director General's require	ements? Yes	
If Yes, reasons	EVALUATION CRITE	RIA FOR THE ISSUING OF AN AUTHORISATION	
	formally accept dele	ed delegation authorisation for this PP, however Council is yet to gations. It is recommended that once plan-making delegation for ted by Council, Council be authorised to exercise delegation to	
		Dana 2 of 7 09 Mar 2012 11:52 am	

	Scenic Protection to SP2 Infrastructure/ E2 Environmental Imdale Road, Palmdale
	The evaluation criteria delegation checklist supports authorising delegation to Council.
	PROJECT TIMELINE
	The project timeline provided by Council nominates a November 2013 completion date.
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	
posal Assessment	A second part of the second part
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : June 2013	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The draft Wyong LEP 2013 came off exhibition on 20 February 2013 and Council is now reviewing submissions. Finalisation of the plan is anticipated in June 2013.
	The rezoning of this site is included in the draft Wyong LEP 2013. This means that if the draft SI LEP is finalised before this PP then this PP becomes redundant. However, if finalised before then a new SI-based part would be introduced into the Wyong LEP 1991. SI-based parts already exist in the Wyong LEP 1991 and several current Wyong PPs propose the same approach.
	Council proposes to split zone the site SP2 Infrastructure (Cemetery) and E2 Environmental Conservation.
	The use of SP2 is consistent with the approach used by Council in its draft Wyong LEP 2013 for other cemeteries. It is also consistent with the Department's Practice Notes "PN 10-001 Zoning for Infrastructure" and "PN 11-002 Preparing LEPs using the Standard Instrument: Standard Zones".
	Council has not discussed alternative E zones for the vegetated portion of the site. However, zoning the site E2 is consistent with Council's approach to zoning EEC land in the draft SI LEP.
ssessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	This proposal would allow the existing Palmdale Lawn Cemetery and Memorial Park to expand. In doing so it would provide additional burial capacity on the Central Coast. On this basis, the need for the PP is adequately justified.
	The timeline provided nominates completion of the PP in November 2013, four months after the draft SI LEP would be finalised. As the PP provisions are already in the draft SI LEP, progressing the PP could be considered unnecessary. However, it is recommended the PP continue so as to allow development to occur should the draft SI LEP be delayed.

CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (CCRS) Consistency with strategic planning framework : The CCRS is a high level document which does not make any specific reference to cemetery provision or associated services. The PP is neither consistent or inconsistent with the regional strategy. LOCAL STRATEGIES Wyong Shire Council Strategic/ Annual Plan - Council advises the PP aligns with this plan. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES The PP identifies SEPP 55 Remediation of Land as applying to the PP. The PP states that the site was formerly used for rural-residential purposes, is not contaminated and there is no history of contaminating activities having occurred on the site. S117 DIRECTIONS The PP is consistent with the relevant s117 directions except the following which require further discussion: Direction 2.1 Environment Protection Zones - the PP is inconsistent with this direction because rezoning from 7(b) to SP2 would reduce the environment protection standards applying to that part of the site. However, the PP states the environmentally sensitive parts are to be zoned E2, with the largely cleared land to be zoned SP2. Further, Council has zoned similar land in its draft SI LEP E2 which has minimal development potential. On this basis, the DDG should agree the PP's inconsistency with this direction is of minor significance. Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils - part of the site is identified as class 5 acid sulfate soils (ASS) ie land within 500 metres of adjoining class 4 land. As no ASS study is proposed the PP is inconsistent with this direction. However, as the land is class 5 (approx. 400 metres from the class 4 land) and the level of likely disturbance is minimal, the DDG should agree that inconsistency with this direction is of minor significance. Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land - Council advises the site is largely flood affected (low hazard floodway). As the PP would rezone land from an environmental protection zone to a special purpose zone in a flood planning area, the PP is inconsistent with this direction. A Flood Hazard Assessment has been undertaken and Council conludes that the PP would not result in significant impacts provided site filling does not occur. As a result, Council states that the PP's inconsistency with this direction is of minor significance. Noting Council's comments and that the site would be used for a low intensity use (ie cemetery), the DDG should agree that the inconsistency is of minor significance. Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection - the site is bushfire prone and a Bushfire Assessment Report prepared. Council needs to consult with the RFS before consistency with this direction can be determined. Environmental social As discussed, the site is bushfire prone and flood prone and studies have been undertaken to evaluate potential impacts. economic impacts : The site also contains an EEC which Council intends to zone E2. Council should consider the need to consult with OEH per s.34A of the Act. s.34A requires consultation with the DG (OEH) should Council be of the view the EEC will or may be adversely affected by the PP.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month		Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)			age	
Is Public Hearing by the I	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter p	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional stu	idies, if required.			
If Other, provide reasons	:			
Identify any internal const	ultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	required			
Is the provision and fundi	ng of state infrastructure	e relevant to	o this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :				
	Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the I (2)(a) Should the matter p If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional stu If Other, provide reasons Identify any internal cons No internal consultation Is the provision and fundi	Timeframe to make 9 Month LEP : Public Authority Office of Environment Consultation - 56(2)(d) NSW Rural Fire Service Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure	Timeframe to make 9 Month LEP : Public Authority Office of Environment and Heritz Consultation - 56(2)(d) NSW Rural Fire Service Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes If no, provide reasons : Yes Identify any additional studies, if required. If Yes, reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to	Period : Period

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public	
Council_Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Council_Report.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Council_Resolution.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Council_Planning_Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Planning_Proposal_Appendix_1_Site_Map.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Planning_Proposal_Appendix_2_Ecology_Stud y_Pt1.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Planning_Proposal_Appendix_2_Ecology_Stud y_Pt2.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Planning_Proposal_Appendix_2_Ecology_Stud y_Pt3.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Planning_Proposal_Appendix_3_Flood_Study. pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Planning_Proposal_Appendix_4_Bushfire_Stud y.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Zoning_Map.pdf	Мар	Yes	
Council_Project_Timeline.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council_Delegation_Evaluation_Criteria_Checklist.pdf	Proposal	Yes	

Planning Team Recommendation Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions S.117 directions: 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions Additional Information : It is recommended the PP proceed subject to the following conditions: - update the PP with s117 and environmental impact considerations contained in the Council report and this Gateway Determination; - prepare a locality map and a map showing the existing zoning of the site and adjoining land and include this in the exhibition package. All maps should clearly identify the site; - consult with the RFS and update s117 direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; - consider the need to consult with OEH per s.34A of the Act should Council be of the view the EEC will or may be adversely affected by the PP; - 14 day community consultation period; and - 9 month completion timeframe. It is recommended that the DG (or delegate) agree that inconsistencies with s117 directions 2.1 Environment Protection Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are of minor significance. Supporting Reasons hhe. Signature: 69KINSDate: 8.3.2013 Printed Name:

1.1